

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,446	04/04/2006	Hans Peter Loebl	DE030345	9662
	7590 06/20/2007 LLECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			SÁN MARTIN, JAYDI A	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2834		
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		06/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

*****			TH		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/574,446	LOEBL ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jaydi A. San Martin	2834		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Discussions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		,			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	<u>lay 2007</u> .			
′—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicat	ion Papers				
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= · ·	· ·		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage		
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Amendments to the specification were noted. However, the disclosure is referring to the claims in page 2, paragraph 2 (line 9).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feucht et al (US 2006/01254890) in view of Kobrin.

Feucht discloses a sensor having a piezoelectric layer (4), and electrodes (5, 6) formed on the top of the piezoelectric layer, wherein a sensing layer (8) covers, at least partially, the electrodes.

However, Feucht fails to disclose the resonator comprising an acoustic reflector formed between the piezoelectric layer and a substrate.

Kobrin discloses the use of the acoustic reflector to provide acoustic isolation between the thin film acoustic resonator and the substrate. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to use the reflector as disclosed by Kobrin to provide acoustic isolation.

Art Unit: 2834

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feucht et al (US 2006/01254890) in view of Kim et al. (US 6293136)

Feucht discloses the invention as explained above, but fails to disclose the sensor comprising an acoustic reflector, the first and the second electrode being interdigitated electrode, the sensor comprises a plurality of individually addressable resonator, and the sensing layers of the different resonators comprising different materials.

Kim discloses the idt's, the acoustic reflector and different coatings.

Kim's invention eliminates the need to provide other relatively more complicated temperature compensating structure or to maintain the device at a predetermined constant temperature, and further discloses that the invention can be used to detect different chemicals or substances. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to use idt's, an acoustic reflector and different sensing layers to have a sensor which can be used at different temperatures and a sensor that could measure different substances.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaydi A. San Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-2018. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaydi A. San Martin

Patent Examiner-Class 310

Art Unit 2834

6/15/07